Cornell University

RL-based Energy Management of MGs



Level I: Distribution System Control

- A bi-level RL-based energy management of networked MGs under incomplete information
- At the Level I, a cooperative RL agent performs function approximation to predict the behavior of MGs and give retail price signals for MGs.
- At the Level II, the **MG agents** receive the price signals from the Level I then, each MG provides power-flowconstrained optimal responses to price singles.
- Only uses aggregate data at the point of common coupling

Q. Zhang, K. Dehghanpour, Z. Wang and Q. Huang, "A Learning-Based Power Management Method for Networked Microgrids Under Incomplete Information," in IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 1193-1204, March 2020.

Cornell University

RL-based Energy Management of MGs

Level I: one cooperative agent solves a RL problem:

Maximize the reward function

$$R(t) = \sum_{t'=1}^{T-1} \gamma^{t'} \left(\lambda_{t+t'}^{W} P_{t+t'}^{W} - \sum_{n=1}^{N} \lambda_{t+t',n}^{R} P_{t+t',n}^{PCC} \right)$$

The state-action value function (Q-function)

$$Q_t^*(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{a}) = E\left\{\pi(t+1) + \gamma \cdot \max_{\boldsymbol{a}'} Q_t^*(\boldsymbol{S}(t+1), \boldsymbol{a}')\right\}$$

Parameterize the Q-function with multivariate regression method

$$Q_t(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{a}) \approx \hat{Q}_t(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{a} | \boldsymbol{\theta}) = Q_{\mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{a}}(t | \boldsymbol{\theta}) + Q_{\mathbf{S}}(t | \boldsymbol{\theta}) + Q_{\mathbf{a}}(t | \boldsymbol{\theta})$$

Greedy action selection

 $\boldsymbol{a_{opt}}(t') = \arg \max_{\boldsymbol{a}'} Q_{t'}(\boldsymbol{S}(t'), \boldsymbol{a}')$

Update regression parameter

$$(t+1) \leftarrow \theta(t) + \Delta(t)x(t) \{ R(t) - \hat{Q}_t(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{a}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) \}$$

$$\Delta(t+1) \leftarrow \widehat{\Delta}(t+1) \left(I + \mu \widehat{\Delta}(t+1) \right)^{-1}$$

$$\widehat{\Delta}(t+1) \leftarrow \frac{1}{1-\phi} \left(\Delta(t) - \frac{\Delta(t)x(t)x^{T}(t)\Delta(t)}{1+x^{T}(t)\Delta(t)x(t)} \right)$$

Action of utility agent:

Locational retail price



Level II: each MG solves a constrained optimal power flow problem problem:

Minimize operational cost of each MG

$$\min_{\alpha_{p}, x_{q}} \sum_{t}^{T+t} \left(-\lambda_{t,n}^{R} P_{t,n}^{PCC} + \lambda_{i,t,n}^{F} F_{i,t,n} \right)$$

Power transfer between MGs ٠

 $\left|P_{t,n}^{PCC}\right| \leq P_{t,n}^{PCC,M}$

 $\left|Q_{t,n}^{PCC}\right| \le Q_{t,n}^{PCC,M}$

- Network OPF-based constraints ٠
- Operational constraints of DGs ٠
- Operational constraints of ESSs ٠

Reward and State of MGs:

Aggregated information of load and DERs

Q. Zhang, K. Dehghanpour, Z. Wang and Q. Huang, "A Learning-Based Power Management Method for Networked Microgrids Under Incomplete Information," in IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 1193-1204, March 2020.

Cornell University

RL-based Energy Management of MGs

 Test distribution system with networked MGs



Training performance

	RL-based method	Centralized Opt
Social welfare (\$)	4212.372	4232.264
Computational time (s)	9.64	116.35
MG privacy maintenance	Yes	No

Comparison between benchmark model-

based methods and model-free methods

• Training data (4 year and 15-min smart meter data)

 Retail price signals (Level I actions) Power transfer between MGs (Level II responses)

Controlized Ont

3



Q. Zhang, K. Dehghanpour, Z. Wang and Q. Huang, "A Learning-Based Power Management Method for Networked Microgrids Under Incomplete Information," in IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 1193-1204, March 2020.

